

These notes are from [https://mathematicaster.org/teaching/graphs2022/extra\\_03-01.pdf](https://mathematicaster.org/teaching/graphs2022/extra_03-01.pdf)

Here is one very useful fact about edge-connectivity to which the book alludes but never really states.

For a graph  $G = (V, E)$  and disjoint subsets  $A, B \subseteq V$ , we write  $E[A, B]$  to denote the set of edges of  $G$  with one vertex in  $A$  and the other vertex in  $B$ . Observe that if  $V = A \sqcup B$  and  $A, B$  are both non-empty, then  $E[A, B]$  is an edge-cut of  $G$ . It turns out that this is morally a biconditional statement.

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $G = (V, E)$  be a graph on at least two vertices.*

1. *If  $S \subseteq E$  is an edge-cut, then there is a partition  $V = A \sqcup B$  with  $A, B$  non-empty such that  $S \supseteq E[A, B]$ .*
2. *If  $S \subseteq E$  is a minimum edge-cut, then there is a partition  $V = A \sqcup B$  with  $A, B$  non-empty such that  $S = E[A, B]$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $S$  is an edge-cut and  $G \not\cong K_1$ ,  $G - S$  is disconnected. We can thus partition  $V = A \sqcup B$  such that  $A$  and  $B$  are non-empty and no edges of  $G - S$  cross between  $A$  and  $B$ . Thus, if  $e \in E[A, B]$ , then we must have  $e \in S$ ; i.e.  $E[A, B] \subseteq S$ .

Now suppose that  $S$  is a minimum edge-cut and take  $A, B$  from earlier and suppose for the sake of contradiction that  $S \neq E[A, B]$ . Since  $S \supseteq E[A, B]$ , this can happen only if there is some  $e \in S \setminus E[A, B]$ . Consider  $S' = S \setminus \{e\}$ . Since  $e \notin E[A, B]$ , we see that  $G - S' = G - S + e$  still has no edges crossing between  $A$  and  $B$ . Thus  $G - S'$  is also disconnected and so  $S'$  is an edge-cut of  $G$ , contradicting the minimality of the edge-cut  $S$ .  $\square$

Consequently, if  $G$  has at least two vertices, then

$$\lambda(G) = \min\{|E[A, B]| : V(G) = A \sqcup B \text{ and } A, B \neq \emptyset\}.$$