

---

These notes are from [https://mathematicaster.org/teaching/graphs2022/extra\\_04-07.pdf](https://mathematicaster.org/teaching/graphs2022/extra_04-07.pdf)

**Theorem 1.** *If  $G$  is an  $n$ -vertex graph, then  $\chi(G) \cdot \chi(\overline{G}) \geq n$ .*

There are many proofs of this fact; here are two:

*Proof.* We know that  $\omega(\overline{G}) = \alpha(G)$ , so by applying our two lower bounds on the chromatic number, we have

$$\chi(G) \cdot \chi(\overline{G}) \geq \frac{n}{\alpha(G)} \cdot \omega(\overline{G}) = n. \quad \square$$

*Proof.* Let  $f_1: V \rightarrow [\chi(G)]$  be a proper coloring of  $G$  and let  $f_2: V \rightarrow [\chi(\overline{G})]$  be a proper coloring of  $\overline{G}$ .

We then define  $f: V \rightarrow [\chi(G)] \times [\chi(\overline{G})]$  by

$$f(x) = (f_1(x), f_2(x)).$$

Observe that  $f$  is a  $\chi(G) \cdot \chi(\overline{G})$ -coloring. We claim that  $f(x) \neq f(y)$  for all  $x \neq y \in V$ , which will imply that  $\chi(G) \cdot \chi(\overline{G}) \geq n$ .

Indeed, fix any  $x \neq y \in V$ .

Case 1:  $xy \in E(G)$ . Since  $f_1$  is a proper coloring of  $G$ , we then have  $f_1(x) \neq f_1(y)$  and so  $f(x) \neq f(y)$ .

Case 2:  $xy \notin E(G)$ . Then  $xy \in E(\overline{G})$  since  $x \neq y$ . Since  $f_2$  is a proper coloring of  $\overline{G}$ , we then have  $f_2(x) \neq f_2(y)$  and so  $f(x) \neq f(y)$ .  $\square$

While the second proof probably seems more complicated, the idea held therein is much more versatile (wink wink nudge nudge).