

These solutions are from <https://mathematicaster.org/teaching/graphs2022/sol-hw12.pdf>

Unless explicitly requested by a problem, do not include sketches as part of your proof. You are free to use the result from any problem on this (or previous) assignment as a part of your solution to a different problem even if you have not solved the former problem.

**Problem 1** (2 + 2 + 2 pts).

1. Let  $G$  be a bipartite graph with parts  $A, B$  where  $|A| = |B| = n \geq 1$ . Prove that if  $|E(G)| > n(n - 1)$ , then  $G$  has a perfect matching.

(It may be easier to rely on König here instead of on Hall, but it's up to you. You could even just induct on  $n$ .)

2. Let  $G$  be a bipartite graph with parts  $A, B$  and fix an integer  $n \geq 1$ . Let  $A = A_1 \sqcup \cdots \sqcup A_n$  and  $B = B_1 \sqcup \cdots \sqcup B_n$  be any partitions (some of the  $A_i$ 's or  $B_j$ 's may be empty). Note that  $|A|$  and  $|B|$  have nothing to do with  $n$ ;  $n$  is just the number of pieces in each partition.

Prove that if  $|E(G)| < n$ , then there is a bijection  $\pi: [n] \rightarrow [n]$  such that  $G$  has no edges between  $A_i$  and  $B_{\pi(i)}$  for each  $i \in [n]$ .

You are free to use part 1 as a black-box even if you haven't proved it.

3. For each positive integer  $t$ , prove that if  $G$  is a  $t$ -critical graph, then  $\lambda(G) \geq t - 1$ .

(Hint: Consult the notes from 03-01. Use part 2 to "merge independent sets".)

You are free to use parts 1 and/or 2 as a black-box even if you haven't proved them.

N.b. Vertex-connectivity is a very different story... In particular, the obvious analogue for vertex-connectivity is false (in general). The "Moser spindle" is a counter-example when  $t = 4$ , and there are many, many others.

**Solution.**

**1. Via König:**

First, since  $G$  is bipartite and each side has size  $n$ , we know that  $\deg v \leq n$  for each  $v \in V(G)$ .

Let  $C \subseteq V(G)$  be a minimum vertex-cover of  $G$ , so  $|C| = \beta(G)$ . Now, each vertex  $c \in C$  covers  $\deg c$  many edges of  $G$ , so, since  $C$  covers every edge of  $G$ , we must have

$$n(n - 1) < |E(G)| \leq \sum_{c \in C} \deg c \leq \sum_{c \in C} n = n|C| \implies \beta(G) = |C| > n - 1.$$

Since  $\beta(G)$  and  $n - 1$  are integers, this means that  $\beta(G) \geq n$ . Thus, König tells us that  $\alpha'(G) = \beta(G) \geq n$  and so  $G$  has a perfect matching.

**Via Hall:**

Since  $|A| = |B|$ , we just need to show that  $G$  has a matching which saturates  $A$ . Fix any non-empty  $S \subseteq A$ ; we must show that  $|N(S)| \geq |S|$ . Set  $B' = B \setminus N(S)$ . By definition,  $G$  has no edges between  $S$  and  $B'$ , and so

$$n(n - 1) < |E(G)| \leq n^2 - |S| \cdot |B'| \implies |S| \cdot |B'| < n \implies |S| \cdot (n - |N(S)|) < n.$$

Of course,  $|S| \cdot (n - |N(S)|)$  and  $n$  are both integers, so  $|S| \cdot (n - |N(S)|) \leq n - 1$ . If  $|S| = k$ , then, since certainly  $k \leq n$ , we have

$$n - |N(S)| \leq \frac{n - 1}{k} \implies |N(S)| \geq n - \frac{n - 1}{k} = \frac{(k - 1)n + 1}{k} \geq \frac{(k - 1)k + 1}{k} = k - 1 + \frac{1}{k} > k - 1.$$

Again,  $|N(S)|$  and  $k - 1$  are integers, so, in fact,  $|N(S)| \geq k = |S|$  as needed.

### Via induction:

If  $n = 1$  then the claim is clear since  $|E(G)| > 0 \implies |E(G)| = 1$ , so this edge is a perfect matching. Thus suppose that  $n \geq 2$ .

We begin by claiming that there is some  $a \in A$  with  $\deg a = n$ , so this  $a$  is adjacent to every vertex in  $B$ . Indeed, if there is no such  $a \in A$ , then  $\deg a \leq n - 1$  for all  $a \in A$  and so the bipartite handshaking lemma tells us that

$$n(n - 1) < |E(G)| = \sum_{a \in A} \deg a \leq \sum_{a \in A} (n - 1) = n(n - 1);$$

a contradiction.

Now we consider  $B$ .

Case 1: Every  $b \in B$  has  $\deg b = n$ . Then  $G \cong K_{n,n}$  which we know has a perfect matching.

Case 2: There is some  $b \in B$  with  $\deg b \leq n - 1$ .

Label  $A = \{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$  and  $B = \{b_1, \dots, b_n\}$  so that  $\deg a_n = n$  and  $\deg b_n \leq n - 1$ . Note that  $a_n b_n \in E(G)$ . Set  $A' = \{a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}\}$  and  $B' = \{b_1, \dots, b_{n-1}\}$  and define  $G'$  to be the subgraph of  $G$  induced on  $A' \sqcup B'$ , so both parts of  $G'$  have size  $n - 1$ . Now, since  $a_n b_n \in E(G)$ , we have

$$|E(G')| = |E(G)| - (\deg a_n + \deg b_n - 1) > n(n - 1) - (n + (n - 1) - 1) = (n - 1)(n - 2).$$

Thus, the induction hypothesis tells us that  $G'$  contains a perfect matching  $M$ . By definition, neither  $a_n$  nor  $b_n$  is an end-point of an edge in  $M$ , so, since  $a_n b_n \in E(G)$ , we find that  $M \cup \{a_n b_n\}$  is a perfect matching in  $G$ .

- Build a bipartite graph  $H$  with parts  $\mathcal{A} = \{A_1, \dots, A_n\}$  and  $\mathcal{B} = \{B_1, \dots, B_n\}$  where  $A_i B_j \in E(H)$  iff there are no edges of  $G$  between  $A_i$  and  $B_j$ . Then the desired bijection  $\pi$  exists if and only if  $H$  contains a perfect matching.

Now, the  $A_i$ 's and  $B_j$ 's are disjoint, so for each  $e \in E(G)$ , the edge  $e$  connects exactly one pair  $A_i$  and  $B_j$ . Some of these edges may connect the same  $A_i$  and  $B_j$ , but, in any case, there are at most  $|E(G)| < n$  many non-edges in  $H$  between  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$ . Thus,

$$|E(H)| > n^2 - n = n(n - 1),$$

and so  $H$  has a perfect matching thanks to part 1.

- $\lambda(G) \geq 0$  always, and so we are done if  $t = 1$ ; thus we may suppose that  $t \geq 2$ . In this case,  $G$  must have at least two vertices.

Suppose for the sake of contradiction that  $\lambda(G) \leq t - 2$ . Then we can partition  $V(G) = A \sqcup B$  such that  $A, B$  are non-empty and  $|E[A, B]| \leq t - 2$ . Since  $A$  and  $B$  are non-empty, both

$G[A]$  and  $G[B]$  are proper subgraphs of  $G$  and so each has chromatic number at most  $t - 1$  since  $G$  is  $t$ -critical. Thus, we may partition  $A = A_1 \sqcup \dots \sqcup A_{t-1}$  and  $B = B_1 \sqcup \dots \sqcup B_{t-1}$  so that each  $A_i$  is an independent set in  $G[A]$  and each  $B_j$  is an independent set in  $G[B]$ . Since  $G[A]$  and  $G[B]$  are induced subgraphs of  $G$ , we know that each  $A_i$  and each  $B_j$  is also an independent set in  $G$ . Now, by considering the bipartite subgraph of  $G$  with parts  $A, B$  and edges  $E[A, B]$ , since  $|E[A, B]| \leq t - 2 < t - 1$ , part 2 hands us a bijection  $\pi: [t - 1] \rightarrow [t - 1]$  such that there are no edges between  $A_i$  and  $B_{\pi(i)}$ . In particular, for each  $i \in [n]$ , we know that  $A_i \sqcup B_{\pi(i)}$  is an independent set in  $G$ . Thus, since  $\pi$  is a bijection, we can write

$$V(G) = A \sqcup B = (A_1 \sqcup \dots \sqcup A_{t-1}) \sqcup (B_1 \sqcup \dots \sqcup B_{t-1}) = \bigsqcup_{i=1}^{t-1} (A_i \sqcup B_{\pi(i)}),$$

which yields a partition of  $V(G)$  into  $t - 1$  many independent sets. This, however, implies that  $\chi(G) \leq t - 1$ , which contradicts the assumption that  $G$  is  $t$ -critical. □

**Problem 2** (2pts). Let  $g \geq 2$  be an integer and let  $G$  be a connected plane graph on  $n$  vertices wherein every face is bounded by a cycle of  $G$ . Prove that if  $G$  has no cycles of length  $g$  or smaller, then

$$|E(G)| \leq \frac{g+1}{g-1}(n-2).$$

**Solution.** Since each face of  $G$  is bounded by a cycle and  $G$  has no cycles of length  $\leq g$ , we must have  $\text{len}(f) \geq g + 1$  for all  $f \in F(G)$ . Thus, the headshaking lemma yields

$$2|E(G)| = \sum_{f \in F(G)} \text{len}(f) \geq \sum_{f \in F(G)} (g+1) = (g+1)|F(G)| \implies |F(G)| \leq \frac{2}{g+1}|E(G)|.$$

Now,  $G$  is connected and so Euler's formula tells us that

$$2 = n + |F(G)| - |E(G)| \leq n + \frac{2}{g+1}|E(G)| - |E(G)| = n - \frac{g-1}{g+1}|E(G)| \implies |E(G)| \leq \frac{g+1}{g-1}(n-2). □$$

**Problem 3** (2pts). Prove a special case of the 4-color theorem: If  $G$  is a planar, triangle-free graph, then  $\chi(G) \leq 4$ .

**Solution.** [#1] Suppose for the sake of contradiction that  $\chi(G) \geq 5$  and let  $H$  be any 5-critical subgraph of  $G$ . Since  $H$  is a subgraph of  $G$ ,  $H$  is also planar and triangle-free. Additionally,  $H$  is connected and has  $\delta(H) \geq 4$  (Props 4&6 from 04-12). Set  $n = |V(H)|$ ; certainly  $n \geq 5 \geq 3$  since  $\delta(H) \geq 4$ .

We may therefore apply the handshaking lemma and Theorem 11 from 04-14 to bound

$$2n - 4 \geq |E(H)| = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{v \in V(H)} \deg_H v \geq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{v \in V(H)} 4 \geq \frac{4n}{2} = 2n;$$

a contradiction. □

**Solution.** [#2] Let  $G$  be a planar, triangle-free graph; we claim that  $\delta(G) \leq 3$ . If  $G$  has connected components  $G_1, \dots, G_k$ , then  $\delta(G) = \min_{i \in [k]} \delta(G_i)$ , so it suffices to consider the case when  $G$  is connected. Set  $n = |V(G)|$ . If  $n \leq 2$ , then  $\delta(G) \leq 1$ , so we may suppose that  $n \geq 3$ . So  $G$  is a planar, triangle-free, connected graph with  $n \geq 3$ , so we may apply the handshaking lemma and Theorem 11 from 04-14 to bound

$$2n - 4 \geq |E(G)| = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{v \in V(G)} \deg v \geq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{v \in V(G)} \delta(G) = \frac{n}{2} \delta(G) \implies \delta(G) \leq \frac{2}{n}(2n - 4) = 4 - \frac{8}{n} < 4.$$

Since  $\delta(G)$  and 4 are integers, we conclude that  $\delta(G) \leq 3$ .

Now, if  $H$  is any subgraph of  $G$ , then  $H$  is also planar and triangle-free. Therefore,

$$d(G) = \max\{\delta(H) : H \text{ is a subgraph of } G\} \leq 3,$$

and so  $\chi(G) \leq d(G) + 1 \leq 4$  as needed. □