

These solutions are from <https://mathematicaster.org/teaching/graphs2022/sol-hw9.pdf>

Unless explicitly requested by a problem, do not include sketches as part of your proof. You are free to use the result from any problem on this (or previous) assignment as a part of your solution to a different problem even if you have not solved the former problem.

**Problem 1** (1 + 2 pts). Fix any integer  $n \geq 3$ .

1. Construct an  $n$ -vertex graph  $G$  with  $\binom{n-1}{2} + 1$  many edges such that  $G$  is *not* Hamiltonian.  
(Note: You must construct such a graph for *every*  $n \geq 3$ .)
2. Prove that if  $G$  is an  $n$ -vertex graph with at least  $\binom{n-1}{2} + 2$  many edges, then  $G$  is Hamiltonian.

**Solution.**

1. Form  $G$  by attaching a leaf to  $K_{n-1}$ . Formally,  $G$  has vertex-set  $V(G) = \{v_1, \dots, v_{n-1}, u\}$ , where  $G[\{v_1, \dots, v_{n-1}\}] \cong K_{n-1}$  and the unique neighbor of  $u$  is  $v_1$ . Since  $\deg u = 1$ ,  $G$  cannot be Hamiltonian since Hamiltonicity requires minimum degree at least two (though  $G$  does contain a Hamiltonian path). Furthermore,  $|E(G)| = 1 + |E(G[\{v_1, \dots, v_{n-1}\}])| = 1 + |E(K_{n-1})| = 1 + \binom{n-1}{2}$  as requested.
2. Fix any non-adjacent vertices  $u, v$  (if no such pair exists, then we're done since  $n \geq 3$ ). Set  $G' = G - \{u, v\}$  (deleting vertices here). Since  $u$  and  $v$  are non-adjacent, we find that  $|E(G)| = |E(G')| + \deg u + \deg v$ . On the other hand,  $G'$  has  $n - 2$  vertices and so  $|E(G')| \leq \binom{n-2}{2}$ . Putting these together, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \binom{n-1}{2} + 2 &\leq |E(G)| = |E(G')| + \deg u + \deg v \leq \binom{n-2}{2} + \deg u + \deg v \\ \implies \deg u + \deg v &\geq \binom{n-1}{2} + 2 - \binom{n-2}{2} = n. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $G$  satisfies Ore's condition and so  $G$  is Hamiltonian.

□

**Problem 2** (0.5 + 0.5 pts). Recall that  $K_{n_1, n_2, n_3}$  is the complete tripartite graph with parts of sizes  $n_1, n_2, n_3$ .

Fix any positive integer  $n$ .

1. Prove that  $K_{n, 2n, 3n}$  is Hamiltonian.
2. Prove that  $K_{n, 2n, 3n+1}$  is not Hamiltonian.

**Solution.**

1. Call the parts  $A, B, C$  where  $|A| = n$ ,  $|B| = 2n$  and  $|C| = 3n$ .

We observe that for  $a \in A, b \in B, c \in C$ , we have  $\deg a = 5n$ ,  $\deg b = 4n$  and  $\deg c = 3n$ . Thus  $\delta(K_{n, 2n, 3n}) \geq 3n = \frac{1}{2}|V(K_{n, 2n, 3n})|$  and so Dirac's condition implies that  $K_{n, 2n, 3n}$  is Hamiltonian since certainly  $6n \geq 3$ .

2. Call the parts  $A, B, C$  where  $|A| = n$ ,  $|B| = 2n$  and  $|C| = 3n + 1$ .

Since  $C$  is an independent set, we observe that  $\text{comp}(K_{n,2n,3n+1} - (A \cup B)) = |C| = 3n + 1$ . However,  $|A \cup B| = 3n < 3n + 1$  and so  $K_{n,2n,3n+1}$  cannot be Hamiltonian.

□

**Problem 3** (2pts). Let  $G$  be a graph on  $n \geq 4$  vertices with the property that  $N(u) \cup N(v) \supseteq V(G) \setminus \{u, v\}$  for every  $u \neq v \in V(G)$ . Prove that  $G$  is Hamiltonian.

(Hint:  $|A \cup B| = |A| + |B| - |A \cap B|$  for finite sets  $A, B$ .)

(Hint: The problem and first hint suggest attempting a proof similar to our proof of Bondy–Chvátal, i.e. extending a Hamiltonian path to a Hamiltonian cycle. While such a proof is possible, it's more difficult than a more direct proof using only Ore's condition. This is just my opinion and maybe you disagree; I just don't want to lead you down the wrong path.)

**Solution.** [#1] We verify Ore's condition. Fix any non-adjacent  $u \neq v \in V(G)$  (if there is no such pair, then we are done since  $n \geq 3$ ). We need to show that  $\deg u + \deg v \geq n$ . Suppose for the sake of contradiction that  $\deg u + \deg v \leq n - 1$ . Now,

$$\begin{aligned} n - 2 &= |V(G) \setminus \{u, v\}| \leq |N(u) \cup N(v)| = |N(u)| + |N(v)| - |N(u) \cap N(v)| \\ &= \deg u + \deg v - |N(u) \cap N(v)| \leq n - 1 - |N(u) \cap N(v)|, \end{aligned}$$

and so  $|N(u) \cap N(v)| \leq 1$ , i.e.  $u$  and  $v$  have at most one common neighbor. Since  $n \geq 4$ , this implies that there must be some  $x \in V(G) \setminus \{u, v\}$  with  $x \notin N(u) \cap N(v)$ . Without loss of generality, we may suppose that  $x \notin N(v)$ .

Consider the vertices  $x$  and  $u$ . By assumption,  $v \in N(u) \cup N(x)$  since  $v \in V(G) \setminus \{x, u\}$ . However,  $v \notin N(u)$  since  $u$  and  $v$  were assumed to not be adjacent and also  $v \notin N(x)$  since  $x \notin N(v)$ . Therefore  $v \notin N(u) \cup N(x)$ ; a contradiction. □

**Solution.** [#2] We follow the ideas in our proof of Bondy–Chvátal.

First, we show that  $G$  has a Hamiltonian path. Indeed, suppose that  $P = (v_1, \dots, v_k)$  is a maximum-length path in  $G$ . Notice that  $k \geq 2$  since  $n \geq 4$  and an independent set of size at least 3 cannot possibly satisfy the assumption; in particular  $v_1 \neq v_k$ .

If  $k = n$  then we're done, so suppose for the sake of contradiction that  $k < n$ . Since  $k < n$ , there is some  $x \in V(G) \setminus V(P)$ . Since  $v_1 \neq v_k$ , we thus have  $x \in N(v_1) \cup N(v_k)$  by assumption. If  $x \in N(v_k)$ , then  $(v_1, \dots, v_k, x)$  is a strictly longer path than  $P$ ; a contradiction. If  $x \in N(v_1)$ , then  $(x, v_1, \dots, v_k)$  is a strictly longer path than  $P$ ; a contradiction. Thus,  $k = n$  and so  $G$  does indeed have a Hamiltonian path.

Now that we know that  $G$  has a Hamiltonian path, let  $(v_1, \dots, v_n)$  be such a path. If also  $v_1v_n \in E(G)$ , then we have found a Hamiltonian cycle, so suppose this is not the case.

Observe that  $n \geq 4$  and so  $v_2, v_3 \notin \{v_1, v_n\}$ . Identically to our proof of Bondy–Chvátal, if  $v_1v_3, v_2v_n \in E(G)$ , then we have found a Hamiltonian cycle, so we show that this is indeed the case which will conclude the proof. If  $v_1v_3 \notin E(G)$ , then, since  $v_1v_n \notin E(G)$ , we have  $v_1 \in V(G) \setminus \{v_3, v_n\}$  and  $v_1 \notin N(v_3) \cup N(v_n)$ ; a contradiction. Symmetrically, if  $v_2v_n \notin E(G)$ , then, since  $v_1v_n \notin E(G)$ , we have  $v_n \in V(G) \setminus \{v_1, v_2\}$  and  $v_n \notin N(v_1) \cup N(v_2)$ ; a contradiction. □

**Solution.** [#3] This is probably the easiest proof, but I didn't think of it until right before I posted these solutions (I was distracted by the nice application of Ore's conditions in the first solution).

Fix any  $v \in V(G)$ ; we claim that  $\deg v \geq n - 2$ . If not, then  $\deg v \leq n - 3$  and so  $|\{v\} \cup N(v)| \leq n - 2$ . But then we can find  $x \neq y \in V(G) \setminus (\{v\} \cup N(v))$ . Now,  $v \in V(G) \setminus \{x, y\}$  yet  $x, y \notin N(v) \implies v \notin N(x) \cup N(y)$ ; a contradiction.

Thus, we have  $\delta(G) \geq n - 2$ , and also  $n - 2 \geq n/2$  since  $n \geq 4$ . Therefore  $\delta(G) \geq n/2$  and  $n \geq 3$ , so  $G$  satisfies Dirac's condition and is thus Hamiltonian.  $\square$

**Problem 4** (2pts). Let  $G$  be a graph on  $n$  vertices. In class, we showed that  $\alpha'(G) + \beta'(G) = n$  provided  $G$  has no isolated vertices; this exercise exists to establish the natural (and easier to prove) vertex-version of this fact.

Recall that the independence number of  $G$ , denoted by  $\alpha(G)$ , is the size of a largest independent set of  $G$ . Recall also that the vertex-cover number of  $G$ , denoted by  $\beta(G)$ , is the size of a smallest vertex-cover of  $G$ .

Prove that  $\alpha(G) + \beta(G) = n$  for any  $n$ -vertex graph  $G$ .

(Note: You don't need to know anything about matchings to prove this.)

**Solution.** We show first that  $\alpha(G) + \beta(G) \leq n$ . To do so, let  $A \subseteq V$  be a maximum independent set in  $G$ , so  $|A| = \alpha(G)$ . Since  $A$  is an independent set, no edge of  $G$  can have both end-points in  $A$  and so every edge of  $G$  has at least one end-point in  $V \setminus A$ . In other words,  $V \setminus A$  is a vertex-cover of  $G$  and so  $\beta(G) \leq |V \setminus A| = n - \alpha(G) \implies \alpha(G) + \beta(G) \leq n$ .

Next, we show that  $\alpha(G) + \beta(G) \geq n$ . To do so, let  $B \subseteq V$  be a minimum vertex-cover of  $G$ , so  $|B| = \beta(G)$ . Since  $B$  is a vertex-cover, every edge of  $G$  has at least one end-point in  $B$ . Therefore, no edge has both end-points in  $V \setminus B$  and so  $V \setminus B$  is an independent set in  $G$ . Therefore,  $\alpha(G) \geq |V \setminus B| = n - \beta(G) \implies \alpha(G) + \beta(G) \geq n$ .  $\square$

**Problem 5** (2 pts). We have an  $m \times n$  matrix  $M \in \{0, 1\}^{m \times n}$ . We refer to the columns and rows of this matrix as *lines* (so a line is either one of the  $m$  rows or one of the  $n$  columns of  $M$ ). Prove that the minimum number of lines needed to contain all 1's of  $M$  is precisely the maximum number of 1's in  $M$  that one can select so that no two of these selected 1's live in any common line.

**Solution.** This is just a restatement of König's theorem in non-graph-theoretic language.

We build a bipartite graph  $G$  with parts  $A = \{a_1, \dots, a_m\}$  and  $B = \{b_1, \dots, b_n\}$  where  $a_i b_j \in E(G)$  if and only if  $M_{ij} = 1$ . In other words,  $A$  corresponds to the rows of  $M$ ,  $B$  corresponds to the columns of  $M$  and edges correspond to the 1's in  $M$ . In particular, the lines of  $M$  correspond to all of  $V(G)$  and a line contains a particular 1 if and only if the corresponding vertex is an end-point of the corresponding edge.

With this correspondence we have:

- A collection of lines which contain all 1's of  $M$  corresponds to a vertex-cover of  $G$ . Thus, the fewest number of lines necessary to contain all 1's is precisely  $\beta(G)$ .
- A collection of 1's in  $M$  with no two in a common line corresponds to a matching in  $G$ . Thus, the maximum number of such 1's in  $M$  is precisely  $\alpha'(G)$ .

Since  $G$  is bipartite, König tells us that  $\beta(G) = \alpha'(G)$  and so the claim follows.  $\square$