

Show your work and justify all answers.

(12 pts)

(1) Let  $U, V, W$  be vector spaces (over  $\mathbb{C}$ ) and let  $L: U \rightarrow V$  and  $R: V \rightarrow W$  be linear transformations.

(a) **[+1]** Prove that  $\ker(R \circ L) \supseteq \ker L$  and that  $\text{im}(R \circ L) \subseteq \text{im } R$ .

**Solution:** If  $u \in \ker L$ , then  $L(u) = 0$ , so  $(R \circ L)(u) = R(0) = 0$ , so  $u \in \ker(R \circ L)$ .

If  $w \in \text{im}(R \circ L)$ , then there is some  $u \in U$  with  $w = (R \circ L)(u) = R(L(u))$ . Since  $L(u) \in V$ , there is some  $v \in V$  (namely  $v = L(u)$ ) with  $R(v) = u$ ; thus  $u \in \text{im } R$ .  $\square$

(b) **[+2]** Prove that  $\ker(R \circ L) = \ker L$  if and only if  $\text{im } L \cap \ker R = \{0\}$ .

**Solution:**  $(\Rightarrow)$  Suppose that  $v \in \text{im } L \cap \ker R$ ; we need to show that  $v = 0$ . Since  $v \in \text{im } L$ , we can find some  $u \in U$  with  $L(u) = v$ . Furthermore, since  $v \in \ker R$ , we know that  $0 = R(v) = R(L(u)) = (R \circ L)(u)$ , so  $u \in \ker(R \circ L)$ . But since  $\ker(R \circ L) = \ker L$  by assumption, we see that  $u \in \ker L$ , so  $v = L(u) = 0$ .

$(\Leftarrow)$  By part (a), we know that  $\ker L \subseteq \ker(R \circ L)$ , so we need only show the reverse inclusion. Let  $u \in \ker(R \circ L)$ , so  $(R \circ L)(u) = 0$ ; we need to show that  $u \in \ker L$ . Since  $0 = (R \circ L)(u) = R(L(u))$ , we see that  $L(u) \in \ker R$ . On the other hand, certainly  $L(u) \in \text{im } L$ , so  $L(u) \in \text{im } L \cap \ker R = \{0\}$ , so  $L(u) = 0$ . Thus,  $u \in \ker L$  as needed.  $\square$

(c) **[+2]** Prove that  $\text{im}(R \circ L) = \text{im } R$  if and only if  $\ker R + \text{im } L = V$ .

(Hint: it may be helpful to recall that  $R(a) = R(b)$  if and only if  $a - b \in \ker R$ )

**Solution:**  $(\Rightarrow)$  Fix  $v \in V$ ; we need to find  $a \in \ker R$  and  $b \in \text{im } L$  such that  $a + b = v$ . Set  $w = R(v)$ , so  $w \in \text{im } R$ . Since  $\text{im } R = \text{im}(R \circ L)$ , we can find  $u \in U$  with  $(R \circ L)(u) = w$ . Set  $b = L(u)$ , so  $b \in \text{im } L$ . Finally, set  $a = v - b$ , so  $R(a) = R(v - b) = R(v) - R(b) = R(v) - R(L(u)) = w - w = 0$ . Thus  $a \in \ker R$ ,  $b \in \text{im } L$  and  $a + b = v$ .

$(\Leftarrow)$  By part (a), we know that  $\text{im}(R \circ L) \subseteq \text{im } R$ , so we need only show the reverse inclusion. Let  $w \in \text{im } R$ ; we need to find  $u \in U$  for which  $(R \circ L)(u) = w$ . Since  $w \in \text{im } R$ , we can find  $v \in V$  with  $R(v) = w$ . Now, by assumption,  $\ker R + \text{im } L = V$ , so we can find  $a \in \ker R$  and  $b \in \text{im } L$  with  $a + b = v$ . Since  $b \in \text{im } L$ , we can then find  $u \in U$  with  $L(u) = b$ . Now, since  $a \in \ker R$ , we find that  $(R \circ L)(u) = R(b) = 0 + R(b) = R(a) + R(b) = R(a + b) = R(v) = w$ , so  $w \in \text{im}(R \circ L)$ .  $\square$

(2) **[+3]** Let  $U, V$  be finite-dimensional vector spaces (over  $\mathbb{C}$ ) and let  $L: U \rightarrow V$  be a linear transformation.

Consider the following three statements:

1.  $L$  is injective.
2.  $L$  is surjective.
3.  $\dim U = \dim V$ .

Prove that any two of the above statements imply the third; e.g. show that if  $L$  is injective and  $\dim U = \dim V$ , then  $L$  is surjective, etc.

(1 point for each implication.)

**Solution:**  $(1 + 2 \implies 3)$  Since  $L$  is both injective and surjective, we know that  $L$  is bijective, so  $U$  and  $V$  are isomorphic. We've seen in class that this implies that  $\dim U = \dim V$ .

(1 + 3  $\implies$  2) Consider the rank–nullity theorem:  $\dim \ker L + \dim \text{im } L = \dim U$ . Since  $L$  is injective, we know that  $\dim \ker L = 0$ , so  $\dim \text{im } L = \dim U = \dim V$ . Since  $\text{im } L \leq V$  and  $V$  is finite-dimensional, this means that  $\text{im } L = V$ , so  $L$  is surjective.

(2 + 3  $\implies$  1) Again consider the rank–nullity theorem. Since  $L$  is surjective, we know that  $\text{im } L = V \implies \dim \text{im } L = \dim V = \dim U$ , so  $\dim \ker L + \dim \text{im } L = \dim U \implies \dim \ker L = 0$ . Thus,  $\ker L = \{0\}$ , and we've seen in class that this implies that  $L$  is injective.  $\square$

(3) Let  $V$  be a finite-dimensional inner product space (over  $\mathbb{C}$ ) and let  $S \leq V$ .

(a) [+2] Prove that if  $L: V \rightarrow V$  is a linear transformation with  $L(s) = s$  for all  $s \in S$  and  $L(t) = 0$  for all  $t \in S^\perp$ , then  $L = \text{proj}_S$ .<sup>1</sup>

(Hint: linear extension lemma)

**Solution:** Since  $L$  and  $\text{proj}_S$  are both linear transformations, in order to show that  $L = \text{proj}_S$ , through the linear extension lemma, it suffices to show that there is a basis  $\mathcal{B}$  for  $V$  such that  $L(b) = \text{proj}_S b$  for all  $b \in \mathcal{B}$ .

Suppose that  $\dim V = n$  and  $\dim S = k$ , so by Gram–Schmidt, we can find  $\{v_1, \dots, v_k\}$ , an orthonormal basis for  $S$ , and  $\{v_{k+1}, \dots, v_n\}$ , an orthonormal basis for  $S^\perp$ ; hence  $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$  is an orthonormal basis for  $V$ .

Now, for all  $i \in [k]$ , we know that  $v_i \in S$  and  $v_i - v_i = 0 \in S^\perp$ , so  $\text{proj}_S v_i = v_i = L(v_i)$ . On the other hand, for all  $i \in \{k+1, \dots, n\}$ , we know that  $0 \in S$  and  $v_i - 0 = v_i \in S^\perp$ , so  $\text{proj}_S v_i = 0 = L(v_i)$ . Thus,  $\text{proj}_S$  and  $L$  agree on a basis for  $V$ , so  $\text{proj}_S = L$ .  $\square$

(b) [+2] Consider  $\mathbb{C}^n$  equipped with the standard Hermitian inner product and let  $\{\vec{s}_1, \dots, \vec{s}_k\}$  be *any* basis for  $S \leq \mathbb{C}^n$ . In DSW3, we showed that if  $A = [\vec{s}_1 \ \dots \ \vec{s}_k]$ , then  $\text{proj}_S = A(A^*A)^{-1}A^*$ . Give an alternative proof of this fact by using part (a).

**Solution:** Since  $A(A^*A)^{-1}A^* \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ , we indeed know that  $A(A^*A)^{-1}A^*: \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$  is a linear transformation. We need to show that if  $\vec{s} \in S$ , then  $A(A^*A)^{-1}A^*\vec{s} = \vec{s}$  and if  $\vec{t} \in S^\perp$ , then  $A(A^*A)^{-1}A^*\vec{t} = \vec{0}$ .

First, let  $\vec{s} \in S$ , so there is some  $\vec{x} \in \mathbb{C}^k$  for which  $A\vec{x} = \vec{s}$ . Thus,

$$A(A^*A)^{-1}A^*\vec{s} = A(A^*A)^{-1}A^*A\vec{x} = A\vec{x} = \vec{s},$$

as needed. Now, let  $\vec{t} \in S^\perp$ , so  $\langle \vec{s}, \vec{t} \rangle = 0$  for all  $\vec{s} \in S$ . Notice that  $A^*\vec{t} = \begin{bmatrix} \langle \vec{s}_1, \vec{t} \rangle \\ \vdots \\ \langle \vec{s}_k, \vec{t} \rangle \end{bmatrix}$ , so

$$A(A^*A)^{-1}A^*\vec{t} = A(A^*A)^{-1}\vec{0} = \vec{0}, \text{ as needed.}$$

Thus,  $\text{proj}_S = A(A^*A)^{-1}A^*$  by part (a).  $\square$

---

<sup>1</sup>Recall that  $\text{proj}_S v = p$  if  $p \in S$  and  $v - p \in S^\perp$ .